Case	2:15-mj-01566-DUTY Document 6 Filed 08/25/15 Page 1 of 4 Page ID #:24
1	CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COUNT
2	AUG 2 5 2015
3	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA BY DEPUTY
4	
5	
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
8 9	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
10	Plaintiff, $\left. \right.$ CASE NO. 15 - 1566 M v.
11	ORDER OF DETENTION
12	aka "Javier Perez" aka "Victor Manuel Miranda"
13 14	aka Victor, Manuel Poez Defendant. ")  Podilla, "aka "Javier Ferez Vadilla"
15	I.
16 17	A. ( ) On motion of the Government in a case allegedly involving:
18	1. ( ) a crime of violence.
19	2. ( ) an offense with maximum sentence of life imprisonment or death.
20	3. () a narcotics or controlled substance offense with maximum sentence
21	of ten or more years.
22	4. ( ) any felony - where the defendant has been convicted of two or more
23	prior offenses described above.
24	5. ( ) any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence that involves a
25	minor victim, or possession or use of a firearm or destructive device
26	or any other dangerous weapon, or a failure to register under 18
27	U.S.C § 2250.
28	B. M On motion by the Government / ( ) on Court's own motion, in a case
	ORDER OF DETENTION AFTER HEARING (18 U.S.C. §3142(i))
	CR-94 (06/07) Page 1 of 4

1	allegedly involving:
2	On the further allegation by the Government of:
3	1. 💢 a serious risk that the defendant will flee.
4	2. () a serious risk that the defendant will:
5	a. ( ) obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice.
6	b. ( ) threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror or
7	attempt to do so.
8	C. The Government ( ) is/ is not entitled to a rebuttable presumption that no
9	condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the defendant's
10	appearance as required and the safety of any person or the community.
1	
2	II.
3	A. M The Court finds that no condition or combination of conditions wil
14	reasonably assure:
15	1. X the appearance of the defendant as required.
16	( ) and/or
17	2. ( ) the safety of any person or the community.
18	B. ( ) The Court finds that the defendant has not rebutted by sufficien
19	evidence to the contrary the presumption provided by statute.
20	
21	III.
22	The Court has considered:
23	A. the nature and circumstances of the offense(s) charged, including whether the
24	offense is a crime of violence, a Federal crime of terrorism, or involves a minor
25	victim or a controlled substance, firearm, explosive, or destructive device;
26	B. the weight of evidence against the defendant;
27	C. the history and characteristics of the defendant; and
28	D. the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or to the community.

Case 2:15-mj-01566-DUTY Document 6 Filed 08/25/15 Page 3 of 4 Page ID #:26

Case 2:15-mj-01566-DUTY Document 6 Filed 08/25/15 Page 4 of 4 Page ID #:27